Unraveling the interplay of ultrafast electronic, spin, nuclear, and solvent dynamics in a solvated iron complex using the SHARC method VISTA Seminar

Diana Bregenholt Zederkof, Klaus B. Møller, Martin M. Nielsen, Kristoffer Haldrup, Leticia González, <u>Sebastian Mai</u>



Institute of Theoretical Chemistry Faculty of Chemistry, University of Vienna

August 17th, 2022



Transition metal photosensitizers

Motivation? Background? Essential questions?

Transition metal photosensitizers



MLCT = metal-to-ligand charge transfer state MC = metal-centered state

- Desired: Formation of long-lived CT states after excitation
- Very efficient for 4d/5d metals
- 3d metals have low-lying MC states

Transition metal photosensitizers with 3d elements

Much efforts to make viable photosensitizers with 3*d* metals, e.g., Fe with special ligands:



Another way to control the MLCT lifetimes: Solvent effects!



Ultrafast dynamics in [Fe(CN)₄(bipy)]²⁻







- High charge: Strong solvent interactions
- Strong ligand field effects: Shifts of MLCT and MC states

Questions

- Processes after photo-excitation?
- Interrelation between solvent, vibrational, and electronic dynamics?

Background







Collaboration with **Diana Bregenholt-Zederkof** and **Kristoffer Haldrup** from Technical University of Denmark (DTU).

Combination of nonadiabatic dynamics simulations and time-resolved X-ray scattering



D. B. Zederkof, K. B. Møller, M. M. Nielsen, K. Haldrup, L. González, SM, *JACS* 144, 12861–12873 (2022).

Nonadiabatic dynamics simulations with SHARC and TDDFT/MM

System? Methods?



Simulation box:

- ► [Fe(CN)₄(bipy)]²⁻, 2 Na⁺, 5412 waters
- QM/MM with TD-B3LYP*/mixed basis

Initial condition generation:

- Fully equilibrated solvent distribution: Needs cheap sampling
- Adequate ground state geometries: Needs QM/MM sampling
- Adequate internal energy of molecule: Classical mechanics is colder than zero-point energy

System setup II



SM et al., Front. Chem. 6, 1 (2018).

Excited-state dynamics simulations with SHARC

Choice of electronic basis is essential:



For SH the diagonal representation is best:

- + Energies include spin-orbit effects
- + Multiplets treated correctly
- + All couplings localized

Excited-state dynamics simulations with SHARC

Choice of electronic basis is essential:



For SH the diagonal representation is best:

- + Energies include spin-orbit effects
- + Multiplets treated correctly
- + All couplings localized

Nonadiabatic dynamics analyses

We analyzed all relevant **molecular degrees of freedom**:



Effects of photo-excitation on [Fe(CN)₄(bpy)]²⁻

Ultrafast processes? Unexpected findings?

Results I: Electronic structure



Relevant orbitals:

- Metal d orbitals
- Bipyridine π^* orbitals

Relevant electronic states:

- 3 singlet + 3 triplet MLCT states
- 3 triplet MC states

solv

Results I: Electronic structure





Results II: Charge transfer dynamics





- Excitation hole mostly on Fe, contributions on CN⁻
- Excited electron slowly migrates from bpy to Fe

Results II: Charge transfer dynamics





- Excitation hole mostly on Fe, contributions on CN⁻
- Excited electron slowly migrates from bpy to Fe

Results II: Spin and charge transfer dynamics

 S_0



affects charge transfer. Charge transfer will affect solvent dynamics.

elec

Results III: Bond lengths





- Fe-X bonds short in ground state
- Extend strongly for ³MC states

Charge transfer **affects** nuclear dynamics.

ISC dynamics **affects** nuclear dynamics.

Results III: Solute RDFs



- All Fe-X distances increase significantly (MC weakens Fe bonds)
- Coherent beating of bpy ligand (bpy is reduced in MLCT states)

elec

Results III: Predicted X-ray scattering



- Very strong negative feature at $Q \approx 1 \text{ Å}^{-1}$: **Fe–X bond stretch** (160 fs)
- Beating around $Q \approx 1 \text{ Å}^{-1}$: **Bpy beating** (90 fs period)

elec

solv

Results IV: Solvent interactions

Solvent hydrogen Solvent oxygen



Represented via RDFs:



elec



- Significant effect after excitation to MLCT
- Rather small differences: use difference plots for time dependence

CT character **affects** solvent structure

Results IV: Solvent distribution dynamics



- Significant weakening of hydrogen bonds around cyanides (N atoms)
- Weaker effect around bipy (C atoms)

elec

Results IV: Predicted X-ray scattering

- Strong positive feature at Q ≈ 1 Å⁻¹: break of hydrogen bonds (50 fs)
- ► Negative-positive-negative feature at $Q \approx 2.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$: Solvent heating (few 100 fs)



elec

Results V: Solvent-solvent dynamics



- Shortening of O-H bond lengths, decrease in water-water hydrogen bonding
- Slight heating of solvent

elec

solv

Results V: Predicted X-ray scattering



feature at $O \approx 2.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$: **Solvent heating** (few 100 fs)

elec

solv

X-ray solvent scattering observes nuclear and solvent dynamics, and indirectly electronic and spin dynamics.

Negative-positive-negative

Results VI: Solvent effect on electronic states



- Energies of MC states solvent-independent
- Energies of MLCT states increase strongly in solution

Solvent structure **affects** CT character ele

Interrelation of different degrees of freedom

What have we learned about $[Fe(CN)_4(bpy)]^{2-}$?

Processes and time scales in the nonadiabatic dynamics



Counter-intuitive time scales:

solvent reorganization < nuclear relaxation < intersystem crossing < charge transfer

Summary

Ultrafast dynamics in $[Fe(CN)_4(bipy)]^{2-}$

- Initial excitation to ¹MLCT
- Cyanide ligands show very strong hydrogen bonding
- Hydrogen bonding is quickly weakened after excitation
- Fe-ligand bonds stretch quickly in MC states
- Sub-ps intersystem crossing and charge transfer
- Time scales of processes are counter-intuitive



Sebastian Mai



Further thanks to:

- Diana Bregenholt-Zederkof
- DTU physics and chemistry







Thank you for your attention!

