Hot Electron Cooling in Silicon Nanoclusters via Landau–Zener Nonadiabatic Molecular Dynamics

> Brendan Smith SUNY Buffalo Akimov Research Group

University at Buffalo The State University of New York

#### Goal: Simulate Non-Adiabatic dynamics in nanoscale / periodic systems

High demand for clean energy sources One way to meet this demand is though photovoltaic cells

Nanoscale and periodic systems are common sensitizers in photovoltaic devices



Monolayer Interface

**Cluster / Slab Interface** 



Nonadiabatic dynamics is very expensive for nanoscale and periodic systems

#### Why?

- Computational expense scales with system size
- Simulations require many molecular dynamics trajectories (initial conditions).
- Trajectories need to be sufficiently long enough to capture the dynamical process.



## Affordable NAMD for Large Systems

#### **Classical Path Approximation (CPA)**

Prezhdo, O. V.; Duncan, W. R.; Prezhdo, V. V. Progress in Surface Science 2009, 84 (1), 30-68

aka Neglect-of-Back-Reaction Approximation (NBRA)

Si atoms

F atoms

4 x 359 = 1,436 electrons 7 x 214 = 1,498 electrons

1,436 + 1,498 = 2,934 electrons

- Changes to a single electron make only a small change to the density
- Allows for the use of precomputed nuclear trajectories for NAMD



Makes NAMD for large systems possible

#### Can still be expensive

University at Buffalo The State University of New York

### **NBRA workflow**

Smith, B.; Akimov, A. V. JPCL. 2020, 11 (4), 1456–1465.



## University at Buffalo The State University of New York

## Landau-Zener within NBRA

Belyaev, A. K.; Lebedev, O. V. *Phys. Rev. A* 2011, *84* (1), 014701 In 2011, Belyaev and Lebedev (BL) reformulate original LZ formula in terms of only adiabatic properties:

- Energy gaps
- Time-derivatives



Such properties are easily obtainable with Popular electronic structure software packages **Energy Gaps as N-point Lagrange Interpolants** 

$$Z_{ij}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{ij}(t_n) \prod_{m=1, m \neq n}^{N} \left( \frac{t - t_m}{t_n - t_m} \right)$$

Computed derivatives with standard differentiation

$$\frac{\partial^2 Z_{ij}}{\partial t^2}(t) = \frac{1}{dt^2} \left[ Z_{ij}(t_{n-1}) - 2Z_{ij}(t_n) + Z_{ij}(t_{n+1}) \right]$$

$$t_{min} = \frac{\left(Z_{ij}(t_{n-1}) - Z_{ij}(t_{n+1})\right)dt}{2\left(Z_{ij}(t_{n-1}) - 2Z_{ij}(t_n) + Z_{ij}(t_{n+1})\right)} \quad \frac{\partial^2 Z_{ij}}{\partial t^2}(t) > 0$$

Energy gaps and derivatives used to compute  $P_{ij}$ 

# University at Buffalo The State University of New York NAC-Free NAMD in Silicon Nanoclusters

 Computations are carried out using the Libra software package interfaced with the DFTB+ program

> Akimov, A. V. J. Comput. Chem. 2016, 37 (17), 1626–1649 Aradi, B et al. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111 (26), 5678–5684.



https://github.com/Quantum-Dynamics-Hub/libra-code

- Studied hot electron relaxation in H- and terminated silicon nanocrystals
- Effect capping atom mass and electronegativity on the NAMD is investigated
- Effect of nanocrystal size on NAMD is also considered



Central question: How does the choice of capping atom affect NA transition probability?



Faster energy fluctuation

Slower energy fluctuation

## **University at Buffalo** The State University of New York

### Mass vs. Chemical Identity



#### **Hydrogen Termination**

(fs)	1 eV	2 eV	3 eV
Si <sub>26</sub> H <sub>36</sub> (0.8 nm)	103	126	101
Si <sub>66</sub> H <sub>40</sub> (1.5 nm)*	115 - 150	52 (1.3 eV)	-
Si <sub>105</sub> H <sub>94</sub> (1.5 nm)	182	95	82
Si <sub>359</sub> H <sub>214</sub> (2.2 nm)	59	64	72

### **Fluorine Termination**

(fs)	1 eV	2 eV	<b>3 eV</b>
Si <sub>26</sub> F <sub>36</sub> (0.8 nm)	3025	1998	1889
Si <sub>66</sub> F <sub>40</sub> (1.5 nm)**	444 / 493	472 / 704	528 / 1136
Si <sub>105</sub> F <sub>94</sub> (1.5 nm)	199	270	280
$Si_{220}F_{120}$ (2.2 nm)**	268 / 290	277 / 442	263 / 623
Si <sub>359</sub> F <sub>214</sub> (2.2 nm)	118	150	142

\* Reeves, K. G. et al. Nano Lett. 2015, 15 (10), 6429-6433

\*\* Wong, J. C.; Li, L.; Kanai, Y. JPCC 2018, 122, 29526–29536.

Ο



- A cost-effective algorithm is developed for computing nonadiabatic dynamics in large chemical systems
- The cost of nonadiabatic dynamics is only slightly greater than the cost of a molecular dynamics trajectory
- A key advantage is that the new method naturally incorporates decoherence
- We find that hot electron cooling becomes faster as silicon quantum dots becomes larger. Hot electron cooling is slower when fluorine is used as the capping atom
- Slower dynamics fluorine termination options is rationalized by larger energy gaps and slower gap fluctuations



Acknowledgements

Follow us on Twitter @AkimovLab https://akimovlab.github.io/group.html



Advisor Dr. Alexey Akimov

Group Members Mahsa Jabbar Story Temen Achira Boonrath Yating Yang Mahsa Mofidi Austin Cadore Mohammad Shakiba

**SUNY Buffalo Center for Computational Research** 

CENTER FOR COMPUTATIONAL RESEARCH University at Buffalo The State University of New York